



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/655,133	05/30/1996	BRUCE TOGNAZZINI	2860-018	8269
22852	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			ORGAD, EDAN	
LLP 1300 I STRE	EET. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2684	e l
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/200-	4 20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	08/655,133	TOGNAZZINI, BRUCE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edan Orgad	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED THIS COMMUNICATED THIS COMMUNICATED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED THE PRIOR OF THE MAILING THE	OCATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replandication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-23,25 and 26 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-18,22 and 23 is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-21,25 and 26 is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to by the 10.	re withdrawn from consideration. e allowed. cted. ction and/or election requirement. e Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in App of the priority documents have been re nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sun				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jasinaki (US 5,070,329).

Regarding claims 19 and 25, Jasinaki teaches providing an element for sending a communications request from an originating station to a plurality of individual stations (col. 2, lines 10-12), including a query for searching information stored at each of the plurality of individual stations (col. 2, lines 44-52, i.e., information stored is whether the receiver is capable of communicating in only an on-site system or wide area system) and providing an element for performing the step of receiving a response from only individual stations which have information stored that satisfies said query (col. 2, lines 12-17).

Regarding claim 20, Jasinaki teaches performing the step of opening a communications link with individual station from which a response is received (col. 2, lines 28-52).

Regarding claim 21, Jasinaki teaches said query is against information about location of individual stations (col. 2, lines 35-38).

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasinaki (US 5,070,329).

Regarding claim 26, Jasinaki teaches said information stored at a called station is location information and in which said computer program includes instructions for obtaining location information from a plurality of stations (col. 2, lines 7-17 & lines 33-41). However, Jasinaki fails to specifically disclose the use of GPS for geographic location/positioning of station and said GPS is utilized to further display said location information on a moving map display. However, official notice is taken, that the use of GPS and as well as displaying location information in a moving map display is notoriously well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include GPS with a moving map display with Jasinaki's already existing location identification in order to allow Jasinaki's users to be able to view their location on a physical display.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10, 12-18, 22 and 23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 22, see reasons for allowance in office action # 21, dated 11/10/03.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-21, 25 and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5,596,744 Apparatus and method for providing users with transparent integrated access to heterogeneous database management systems.

Art Unit: 2684

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 703-305-4223. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM with every other Friday off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on 703-305-4223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edan Orgad

April 28, 2004

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER